

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/660,227	09/10/2003	Hiroshi Iwakami	14470.0004US01	7711	
7590 08/09/2005			EXAMINER		
Hamre Schumann Mueller & Larson PC P O Box 2902-0902			BASINGER, S	BASINGER, SHERMAN D	
Minneaplois, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3617		

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

~~~~~~~~~~~					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/660,227	IWAKAMI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sherman D. Basinger	3617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Ju</u>	<u>ıly 2005</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		·			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5 and 11</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5 and 11</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 March 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
I					
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 		ved in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ved.			
·	•				
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summai Paper No(s)/Mail I				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	tion Summary F	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050801			

Application/Control Number: 10/660,227

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4-5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi in view of Kishi et al newly cited.

Kobayashi discloses a trim operating wire structure for a personal watercraft comprising a jet propeller for ejecting jet water.

a nozzle (thrust bucket 27 is considered to be one type of nozzle) in position to adjust and capable of adjusting the direction of the jet water,

a trim operating lever 32 operably connected to a steering handle 22,

the nozzle 27 being vertically rotatable from a first ordinary direction when the trim operating lever is gripped, and returning due to spring 44 to the first ordinary direction when the grip on the trim

operating lever is released,

a pull wire 34,

a push wire 30, the push wire long in a rectilinear form as is shown in figure 2 (note that applicant's push wire actually curves in a vertical plane, so it isn't rectilinear in this plane), and

a push-pull converter 36,

wherein a first end of the pull wire is connected to the trim operating lever and a second end of the pull wire is connected to a first end of the push wire through a push-pull converter and

wherein a second end of the push wire is connected to the nozzle.

Kobayashi does not disclose wherein the pull wire has a

smaller diameter and is more flexible than the push wire. However, Kishi et al shows in figure 9 that the pull wires 38 and 39 are of smaller diameter than the push wire 76 and are more flexible. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to make the pull wire of Kobayashi smaller and more flexible than the push wire. Motivation to do so is that the pull wire takes a route which has more curvature than the push wire and thus would be better suited for this if made smaller and more flexible.

Claim 1 defines the push pull converter as being disposed in the personal watercraft at a position directly under the steering handle, the position defined as being spaced from an axis located directly under the steering handle or on the axis of the steering handle. Both Kobayashi et al and Kishi et al disclose that the push-pull converter is disposed at a position directly under the steering handle wherein the position is defined as being spaced from an axis located directly under the steering handle. The push pull converter of Kobayashi et al is located at a spaced distance to the rear of the axis located directly

under his steering handle and in Kishi et al the push-pull converter is located at a spaced distance forward of the axis located directly under his steering handle.

Figure 2 of Kobayashi clearly shows the pull wire as having a portion disposed on the inside of a cover which covers the inner portions of the handles.

It is unclear from Kobayashi if the pull wire is shorter than the push wire; however, Kishi et al shows in figure 1 the pull wire as being shorter than the push wire. In view of the teaching of Kishi et al, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to make the pull wire shorter than the push wire in Kobayashi. Motivation to do so is to have the more flexible pull wire be of a less length because of its flexibility.

Kobayashi does not disclose the pull and push wires being of stainless steel; however, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to make them of stainless steel. Motivation to do so is that stainless steel is less likely to rust.

Kobayashi et al doses not disclose that the pull wire is extended forwardly downwards from the steering handle, such that the push-pull converter is disposed at a position where the pull wire is extended. In Kishi et al the pull wire 38, 39 is extended forwardly downwards from the steering handle, such that the push-pull converter is disposed at a

position where the pull wire is extended. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains in view of the teachings of Kishi et al to modify Kobayashi et al such that the pull wire is extended forwardly downwards from the steering handle, such that the push-pull converter is disposed at a position where the pull wire is extended. Motivation to do so is to locate the converter under the hatch cover so that the converter pivots with the hatch cover for convenience of repair.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Claim 1 as amended does not include the subject matter of claim 3 as filed March 17, 2005. In the last office action it was indicated:
 - Claim 3 as filed March 17, 2005 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim (claim 1 as filed March 17, 2005), but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. In order for claim 1 filed March 17, 2005 to have been allowable the following subject matter would have to be in claim 1 filed March 17, 2005:
 - wherein the push-pull converter is disposed in the personal watercraft directly under the steering handle or on the bow side of the steering handle (and) wherein
 - the push-pull converter is directly under the steering handle.
- 5. The specification discloses:

As shown in Fig. 1, the push-pull converter 56 is disposed in the craft body on the

Application/Control Number: 10/660,227

Art Unit: 3617

bow 24 side of the axis 25 located directly under the steering handle 14 of the personal watercraft 10, at a position spaced from the axis 25 by a distance L. However, the position of arrangement of the push-pull converter 56 may also be directly under the steering handle 14, namely, on the axis 25.

- 6. The limitation in claim 1 filed March 17, 2005 "on the bow side of the steering handle" defines the converter as being at a position spaced from axis 25 by a distance L. The limitation in claims 1 and 3 of "directly under the steering handle" in combination with the limitation in claim 1 of "on the bow side of the steering handle" defines the converter as being on axis 25.
- 7. Claim 1 as amended July 21, 2005 broadens the scope of claim 3 as filed March 17, 2005 by redefining the position of "directly under the steering handle" to include the position where the converter is spaced from the steering axis 25 by the distance L. Claim 1 as filed July 21, 2005 is in scope no different from claim 1 as filed March 17, 2005.
- 8. In view of the above, the claims are not allowed.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment to claim 1 and the addition of new claim 11 necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/660,227

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sherman D. Basinger whose telephone number is 571-272-6679. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 5:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel J. Morano can be reached on 571-272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sherman D. Basinger

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3617

Monday, August 08, 2005